PATENT APPLICATION Docket: 13768.1074

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of:		)
Applicant:	Giovanni M. Della-Libera	)
Title:	VIRTUAL DISTRIBUTED SECURITY SYSTEM	) ) )
Serial No.:	10/068,444	) Art Unit
Filed:	February 6, 2002	) 2132
Confirmation No.:	9546	)
Examiner:	Farid Homayounmehr	)

### RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Dear Commissioner:

This paper is responsive to the Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief ("Notice") dated January 27, 2009. The Examiner has set a one-month period for response, thus making this response due on or before February 27, 2009. Whereas the Notice indicates that the entire brief does not need to be refiled, attached herewith is a new page 13 containing a section "B." to replace the current page 13 of the Appeal Brief. This submission brings the Appeal Brief into compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(vii). As per applicant's discussion with the examiner via telephone on February 10, 2009, no new substantive arguments have been introduced in the new section "B." A copy of the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief is also filed herewith for the Commissioner's convenience. It is believed that no fee is due in connection with this filing. However, if a fee is due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such a fee or credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account No. 23-3178.

# Dated February 10, 2009.

## Respectfully submitted,

/Chad E. Nydegger/ Reg. No. 61,020 CHAD E. NYDEGGER Registration No. 61,020 JENS C. JENKINS Registration No. 44,803 Attorneys for Applicant Customer No. 47973

WORKMAN NYDEGGER 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Phone: 801-533-9800

Fax: 801-328-1707

CEN:cbh 2271709 1 B. The Examiner Erred in Rejecting Claims 4, 20 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) because They Depend from Claim 1, which Is Patentable over Rothermel as Explained above

Claims 4, 20 and 21 each depend directly or indirectly from claim 1. Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in view of Rothermel. However, claim 1 is patentable over Rothermel for at least the reasons as discussed above. As such, the examiner's rejections of claims 4, 20 and 21, which depend from claim 1, are also erroneous. Thus, claims 4, 20 and 21 are patentable for at least the same reasons articulated above with regard to claim 1.

#### CONCLUSION

For at least the reasons articulated above, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 33 and 34, and the respective dependent claims, are in condition for allowance, and therefore, respectfully request reversal of the examiner's pending rejections.

Dated this 10<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

/Chad E. Nydegger/ Reg. No. 61,020 RICK D. NYDEGGER Registration No. 28,651 JENS C. JENKINS Registration No. 44,803 CHAD E. NYDEGGER Registration No. 61,020 Attorneys for Applicant

Customer No. 47973

WORKMAN NYDEGGER 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Phone: 801-533-9800

Fax: 801-328-1707



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,444	02/06/2002	Giovanni M. Della-Libera	13768.1074	9546
47973	7590 01/27/2009		EXAMINER	
	NYDEGGER/MICR	OSOFT		
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
00 2.101 000	CITY, UT 84111			

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOCKETED

PORCHETED

File # 137108. 1074

Solve Non-compliant Amendment

Response Due 22709

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

## Applicant(s) Application No. DELLA-LIBERA ET AL. Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 10/068,444 Art Unit (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner 2132 Farid, Homayounmehr -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 18 June 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, 2. canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 5. 🔲 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 6. 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 7. 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any 8. other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Each Ground of rejection must be argued under its own heading. Entire brief is not needed for this correction only the section found defective /Sharmalla Coates/ Sharmalla Coates, Supervisor Patent Appeal Center